

1. Find the nonnegative integer $a < 28$ which is represented by the following pairs

$$(a) (0, 0) \qquad (b) (1, 1)$$

$$(c) (2, 1) \qquad (d) (3, 5)$$

where each pair (κ, ℓ) represents the system of congruences

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \equiv \kappa \pmod{4} \\ a \equiv \ell \pmod{7} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Solution.

- (a) a must satisfy

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ a \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \end{array} \right\}$$

which obviously has $a = 0$ as solution. This solution is unique in the given range $0 \leq a < 28$ by the Chinese remainder theorem.

- (b) In this case we must consider the system

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ a \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \end{array} \right\}$$

which, by the same reason as in (a) has solution $a = 1$.

- (c) Now a must satisfy

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ a \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Imitating the proof of the Chinese remainder theorem, a solution is given by $a = 4\alpha + 7\beta$ where

$$7\beta \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \tag{1}$$

and

$$4\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{7}. \tag{2}$$

Since $7 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, (1) is equivalent to $3\beta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and hence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \beta & \equiv & 9\beta & \equiv & 3 \cdot 2 = 6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} & & & & 3\beta \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{array}$$

For (2) we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \alpha & \equiv & 8\alpha & \equiv & 2 \pmod{7}. \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ 8 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} & & & & \text{by (2)} \end{array}$$

Thus, taking $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 2$ we have $a = 4\alpha + 7\beta = 22$ (again, according to the Chinese remainder theorem, this is the unique solution in the range $0 \leq a < 28$).

(d) Next we look at the system

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ a \equiv 5 \pmod{7} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proceeding as in part (c) we look for a solution of the form $a = 4\alpha + 7\beta$ so that

$$7\beta \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \tag{3}$$

and

$$4\alpha \equiv 5 \pmod{7}. \tag{4}$$

As before, from (3) we have

$$\beta \equiv 3 \cdot 3 = 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

and from (4)

$$\alpha \equiv 2 \cdot 5 = 10 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}.$$

This, with $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 1$, gives $a = 4\alpha + 7\beta = 19$. □

2. Using Fermat's little theorem show that if n is a positive integer, $n^7 \equiv n \pmod{42}$.

Note: Fermat's little theorem will be stated and proved next Tuesday in class. It states that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for any prime p and any integer a so that $p \nmid a$. Equivalently $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ for any integer a .

Solution. Note first that the given moduli $42 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ and that by Fermat's theorem

$$n^7 = n \cdot (n^2)^3 \equiv n \cdot n^3 = n^4 = (n^2)^2 \equiv n^2 \equiv n \pmod{2},$$

$$n^7 = n \cdot (n^3)^2 \equiv n \cdot n^2 = n^3 \equiv n \pmod{3},$$

and

$$n^7 \equiv n \pmod{7}.$$

This means that $2 \mid n^7 - n$, $3 \mid n^7 - n$ and $7 \mid n^7 - n$ which implies that $42 \mid n^7 - n$ because 2, 3 and 7 are primes. This is the same as $n^7 \equiv n \pmod{42}$ as we wanted. □

3. Let $m_1, m_2 > 1$. Show that the system of linear congruences

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \equiv a \pmod{m_1} \\ x \equiv b \pmod{m_2} \end{array} \right\}$$

has solutions **for any** integers a and b if, and only if, m_1 and m_2 are relatively prime.

Solution. By the Chinese remainder theorem we only need to show that if the given system has always solutions then m_1 and m_2 must be relatively prime. To do so, note that if we can solve for a pair of given integers a and b then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = a + \kappa m_1 \\ x = b + \ell m_2 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow b - a = \kappa m_1 - \ell m_2 \Rightarrow \gcd(m_1, m_2) \mid (b - a).$$

Since a and b can be chosen arbitrarily we conclude that $\gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$ (just take $a = 0$ and $b = 1$ for example). \square

4. Let $\varphi(m) = \{1 \leq k < m \mid \gcd(k, m) = 1\}$ be Euler's function. Show that:

- (a) For any prime p and any integer $\kappa \geq 1$, $\varphi(p^\kappa) = p^{\kappa-1}(p-1)$.
 (b) Use the multiplicative property of φ to prove that if $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k}$ is the prime factorization of m , then

$$\varphi(m) = m \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right).$$

- (c) Use (b) to show that, in particular, for any integer $\kappa \geq 1$, $\varphi(m^\kappa) = m^{\kappa-1}\varphi(m)$.

Note: Recall that φ being multiplicative means that $\varphi(n \cdot m) = \varphi(n) \cdot \varphi(m)$ if $m, n \geq 1$ are relatively prime.

Solution.

- (a) An integer $1 \leq k \leq p^\kappa$ will not be relatively prime to p^κ if it is of the form $k = \ell \cdot p$. The restriction for ℓ is then $1 \leq \ell \leq p^{\kappa-1}$ which give us $p^{\kappa-1}$ such k 's. Therefore

$$\varphi(p^\kappa) = p^\kappa - p^{\kappa-1} = p^{\kappa-1}(p-1).$$

(b) Since φ is multiplicative

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(m) &= \varphi(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k}) = \varphi(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdot \varphi(p_2^{\alpha_2}) \cdot \dots \cdot \varphi(p_k^{\alpha_k}) \\
 &= p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(p_1-1) \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(p_2-1) \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k-1}(p_k-1) \\
 &\quad \uparrow \\
 &\quad \text{by (a)} \\
 &= p_1^{\alpha_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \\
 &= p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \\
 &= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) Since $m^\kappa = p_1^{\kappa\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\kappa\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\kappa\alpha_k}$ is the prime factorization of m^κ if $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k}$ is that of m , by (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(m^\kappa) &= m^\kappa \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \\
 &= m^{\kappa-1} \cdot m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \\
 &= m^{\kappa-1} \cdot \varphi(m). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

5. Let p and q be two different primes, put $m = pq$ and suppose that $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ and $r \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$. Show that for any integer a ,

$$a^r \equiv a \pmod{m}.$$

Solution. Since $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$ there exists an integer κ such that $r = 1 + \kappa(p-1)$. Hence, by Fermat's little theorem, if $p \nmid a$ we have

$$a^r = a^{1+\kappa(p-1)} = a(a^{p-1})^\kappa = a \pmod{p},$$

and so $p \mid (a^r - a)$. Trivially $p \mid (a^r - a)$ when $p \mid a$ and so $p \mid (a^r - a)$ for any integer a . Likewise $q \mid (a^r - a)$ and since p and q are distinct primes we conclude that $m = pq \mid (a^r - a)$. This means that $a^r \equiv a \pmod{m}$ as was to be shown. \square

Remark. The result in this exercise also holds if $m = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k$ is the product of k distinct primes and $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Note now that exercise 2 follows from this with $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 3$ and $p_3 = 7$.