

1. Using *RSA* with public key $(34, 3)$,

- (a) encrypt **MATH**,
 (b) decrypt the message:

10 9 16 | 25 23 27 18 23 10.

Solution.

(a) Translating from the English alphabet we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{8} \\ \mathbf{M} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{13} \\ \mathbf{T} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{20}. \end{array}$$

To encrypt we must calculate

$$c_A = 1^3 \equiv \mathbf{1} \pmod{34}$$

$$c_H = 8^3 \equiv \mathbf{2} \pmod{34}$$

$$c_M = 13^3 = 13 \cdot 256 \equiv 13 \cdot 18 = 234 \equiv \mathbf{30} \pmod{34}$$

$$c_T = 20^3 = 20 \cdot 400 \equiv 20 \cdot 26 = 520 \equiv \mathbf{10} \pmod{34}$$

and the encrypted message is thus

1 2 30 10.

(b) Since $\varphi(34) = 16$ and $3 \cdot 11 = 33 = 1 + 2 \cdot 16$ we can choose $d = 11$.
 Now, according to RSA decryption

$$m_{10} = 10^{11} = 100^5 \cdot 10 \equiv (-2)^5 \cdot 10 = -32 \cdot 10 \equiv 20 \pmod{34}$$

$$m_9 = 9^{11} = 81^5 \cdot 9 \equiv 13^5 \cdot 9 = 169^2 \cdot 117 \equiv (-1)^2 \cdot 15 = 15 \pmod{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_{16} &= 16^{11} = 256^5 \cdot 16 \equiv 18^5 \cdot 16 = 324^2 \cdot 18 \cdot 16 \equiv 18^2 \cdot 288 \equiv 18 \cdot 16 \\ &\equiv 16 \pmod{34}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$m_{25} = 25^{11} = 19 \pmod{34}$$

$$m_{23} = 23^{11} = 5 \pmod{34}$$

$$m_{27} = 27^{11} = 3 \pmod{34}$$

$$m_{18} = 18^{11} = 18 \pmod{34}$$

and

$$m_{10} = 10^{11} = 20 \pmod{34},$$

which by looking at the letter equivalence gives

$$10 \ 9 \ 16 \mid 25 \ 23 \ 27 \ 18 \ 23 \ 10 \equiv \text{TOP} \mid \text{SECRET}. \quad \square$$

2. (a) Prove that if $n > 4$ is composite then

$$(n-1)! \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

- (b) Compute $2^{322} \pmod{323}$ and conclude from Fermat's little theorem that 323 is not prime.

Solution.

- (a) Since n is composite, we can write $n = d \cdot d^*$ for some integers $1 < d < \bar{d} \leq n-1$ unless $n = p^2$ for some prime p (prove this!). In the first case, both d and d^* appear as factors in $(n-1)! = (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 2 \cdot 1$ and therefore $n \mid (n-1)!$. In the latter, since $n > 4$, we must have $p > 2$ and so $p, 2p \leq n-1$ appear as factor in $(n-1)!$ proving again that $n \mid (n-1)!$.
- (b) By successive division (as when one wants to find the binary expression of $322 = "323 - 1"$), since

i	q	r_i	$2^{2^i} \pmod{323}$
0	322	0	2
1	161	1	4
2	80	0	16
3	40	0	256
4	20	0	290
5	10	0	120
6	5	1	188
7	2	0	137
8	1	1	35

we have,

$$2^{322} = 2^{2^8+2^6+2} = 2^{2^8} \cdot 2^{2^6} \cdot 2 = 4 \cdot 188 \cdot 35 = 157 \pmod{323}$$

and hence, by Fermat's little theorem, $n = 323$ is not prime (otherwise we should have $2^{322} \equiv 1 \pmod{323}$). In fact $323 = 17 \cdot 19$. \square

3. Find rules of divisibility of an integer by 5, 9 and 11, and prove each of those rules using modular arithmetic.

Solution. Let $n = a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 a_0$ be the decimal expansion of a positive integer n . This means that

$$n = a_m \cdot 10^m + a_{m-1} \cdot 10^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 \cdot 10 + a_0$$

with $0 \leq a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m \leq 9$ and $a_m \neq 0$. Since

$$10^k \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$10^k \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$$

and

$$10^k \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{11} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ -1, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \pmod{11}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$n \equiv a_0 \pmod{5}$$

$$n \equiv a_m + a_{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 + a_0 \pmod{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n &\equiv (-1)^m a_m + (-1)^{m-1} a_{m-1} + \cdots - a_1 + a_0 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ i \text{ even}}} a_i - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ i \text{ odd}}} a_i \pmod{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the rules read as follows:

- An integer is divisible by 5 if its last digit is a 0 or a 5.
- An integer is divisible by 9 if the sum of its digits is a multiple of 9.
- An integer is divisible by 11 if the difference between the sum of its even and odd numbered digits is a multiple of 11. \square

4. Suppose m and n are relatively prime positive integers

(a) Show that if some integer a has $m \mid a$ and $n \mid a$ then $m \cdot n \mid a$.

(b) Show that the map Ψ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_{m \cdot n}^* &\xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbb{Z}_m^* \times \mathbb{Z}_n^* \\ [a]_{m \cdot n} &\mapsto ([a]_m, [a]_n) \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection.

(c) Conclude from (b) that Euler's φ function is multiplicative, i.e.,

$$\varphi(m \cdot n) = \varphi(m) \cdot \varphi(n).$$

Solution.

(a) If $m \mid a$ and $n \mid a$ these are integers q_m and q_n such that

$$a = m \cdot q_m = n \cdot q_n.$$

Hence $n \mid m \cdot q_m$ and since $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ it follows that $n \mid q_m$. Thus, for some integer κ , $q_m = n \cdot \kappa$ and therefore

$$a = m \cdot n \cdot \kappa$$

which means that $m \cdot n \mid a$.

(b) First observe that Ψ is well defined, for if $\gcd(a, m \cdot n) = 1$ then $\gcd(a, m) = \gcd(a, n) = 1$ also. Next we will show that Ψ is one-to-one and onto.

- Ψ is one-to-one. If $[a]_{m \cdot n}, [b]_{m \cdot n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m \cdot n}^*$ and

$$\Psi([a]_{m \cdot n}) = \Psi([b]_{m \cdot n})$$

then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} [a]_m = [b]_m \\ [a]_n = [b]_n \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow n \mid (b-a) \text{ and } m \mid (b-a) \Rightarrow m \cdot n \mid (b-a). \\ \uparrow \\ \text{by (a)} \end{array}$$

This means that $[a]_{m \cdot n} = [b]_{m \cdot n}$ as we wanted to show.

- Ψ is onto. Let $[\alpha]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*$ and $[\beta]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ and choose $1 \leq a < m \cdot n$ such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \equiv \alpha \pmod{m} \\ a \equiv \beta \pmod{n} \end{array} \right\} \quad (*)$$

as given by the Chinese remainder theorem. Since $\gcd(\alpha, m) = \gcd(\beta, n) = 1$ we have that $\gcd(a, m \cdot n) = 1$ and hence $[a]_{m \cdot n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m \cdot n}^*$ and, by (*), $\Psi([a]_{m \cdot n}) = ([\alpha]_m, [\beta]_n)$.

(c) Since

$$\varphi(m) := \#\{1 \leq k \leq n \mid \gcd(k, m) = 1\} = \#\mathbb{Z}_m^*,$$

by (b) we have

$$\varphi(m \cdot n) = \#\mathbb{Z}_{m \cdot n}^* \stackrel{\substack{= \\ \uparrow \\ \Psi \text{ bijective}}}{=} \#\mathbb{Z}_m^* \times \mathbb{Z}_n^* = \varphi(m) \cdot \varphi(n). \quad \square$$

5. Let φ be Euler's function.

- (a) Show that if a and $m > 1$ are relatively prime positive integers, then the inverse of a modulo m is $a^{\varphi(m)-1}$.
- (b) Use (a) to find
- (i) the inverse of 4 modulo 9,
 - (ii) the inverse of 5 modulo 8.

Solution.

- (a) By the analog to Fermat's little theorem we know that if a and m are relatively prime then $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. But then,

$$[a]_m \cdot [a^{\varphi(m)-1}]_m = [a^{\varphi(m)}]_m = [1]_m$$

which just means that $a^{\varphi(m)-1}$ is the inverse of a modulo m .

- (b) (i) Since $\varphi(9) = \varphi(3^2) = 3 \cdot 2 = 6$,

$$[4]_9^{-1} = [4^5]_9 = [16^2 \cdot 4]_9 = [(-2)^2 \cdot 4]_9 = [16]_9 = [7]_9.$$

- (ii) Now $\varphi(8) = \varphi(2^3) = 4$ and hence

$$[5]_8^{-1} = [5^3]_8 = [25 \cdot 5]_8 = [1 \cdot 5]_8 = [5]_8. \quad \square$$