# *MATH 240*

## *Midterm #1* · *Section 3*

# OCTOBER 10, 2013

✟

✠





1. Show that  $p \leftrightarrow q$  and  $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$  are logically equivalent. [*15 points*]

*Solution:* Let us construct the truth table for the given propositions. For proposition  $p \leftrightarrow q$  we have:

$\boldsymbol{p}$	ā	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
E	$\mathbf{F}$	T

Table 1: Truth Table for  $p \leftrightarrow q$ 

While for  $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$  we get:

- 77							
						$p \quad   \quad q \quad   \quad \neg p \quad   \quad \neg q \quad   \quad p \wedge q \quad   \quad \neg p \wedge \neg q \quad   \quad (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$	
		$T \mid T \mid F \mid F \mid$					
		$F \parallel F$					

Table 2: Truth Table for  $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$ 

Since they have the same final column in their respective truth tables we conclude that both propositions are logically equivalents.

2. Construct the truth table for the compound propositions



(b)  $[(p \leftrightarrow q) \land (r \to q)] \rightarrow (r \to p).$  [10 points]

*Solution:*

(a) For the first proposition we have

						L + $\cdot$	<b>I</b>	╯ 」
$\boldsymbol{p}$	$\boldsymbol{q}$	r	$\neg p$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow q) \land (\neg p \rightarrow r)$	$q \vee r$	$[(p \rightarrow q) \land (\neg p \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow q \lor r$
			F	m	m			
т	т	F	F	т				
T	F	m	F	F				
m	F	F	F	F				
F	m	m	m				m	
F	m	F	m					
F	F	m	m	m				
F	F	F			n			

Table 3: Truth Table for  $[(p \rightarrow q) \land (\neg p \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow q \lor r$ 

(b) And for the second

$\lfloor \sqrt{r} \cdots 1/\lfloor \sqrt{r} \rfloor \rfloor$ $\mathbf{r}$							
$\boldsymbol{p}$	q	r	$p \leftrightarrow q$	$r \rightarrow q$	$r \rightarrow p$	$(p \leftrightarrow q) \land (r \rightarrow q)$	$[(p \leftrightarrow q) \land (r \to q)] \to (r \to p)$
	T	m		m	т		
᠇᠇	T	F	᠇᠇				
т	F	т	Ľ	г			
᠇᠇	F	F	F				
F	T	T	г		г		
F	т	F	F				
F	F	m	m				
Е	г	F	m				

Table 4: Truth Table for  $[(p \leftrightarrow q) \land (r \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow (r \rightarrow p)$ 

- 3. Recall that  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \max \{ k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \leq x \}$ . If  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is given by  $f(x) : =$  $|x|$  then (justify your answer!)
	- (a)  $f$  is injective, i.e., one-to-one.
	- (b) f is surjective, i.e., onto. [*15 points*]
	- $(c)$   $f$  is bijective, i.e., one-to-one and onto.

### *Solution:*

- (a) Observe that for any integer k, if  $k \leq x < k + 1$  then  $\lfloor x \rfloor = k$ . Therefore,  $f$  is many to one and hence not injective.
- (b) Since  $f(k) = k$  for all integer k, f is onto.
- (c) A function is bijective if, and only if, it is one-to-one and onto. Hence  $f$  is not bijective.

4. (a) Find the sum  $1+2+3+\cdots+100$  (No calculator. Explain!). [*8 points*] (b) Use the ideas to obtained (a) and calculate the sum  $1+3+5+\cdots+99$ . [*7 points*]

*Hint:* Work out  $(a)$  by analyzing (then generalizing) the following figure...



### *Solution:*

(a) A shown in the given figure, if we construct a rectangle grid with 100 rows and 101 columns of black/white rectangles, half of them are black and will account for the desired sum. Since the total number of black/white rectangles is  $101 \cdot 100$ , we conclude that

$$
1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + 100 = \frac{101 \cdot 100}{2} = 101 \cdot 50 = 5050.
$$

(b) If  $s: = 1 + 3 + 5 + \cdots + 99$  and  $t: = 2 + 4 + 6 + \cdots + 100$  then, clearly,  $s + t = 1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + 100 = 5050$  (by (a)). Now we observe that, arguing as in (a),  $t = 2(1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + 50) = 50 \cdot 51 = 2550$ . Therefore  $s = 5050 - 2550 = 2500$ .

5. Does there exist any  $2 \times 2$  matrix A such that

$$
A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot A?
$$

If so,

- (a) is it unique, [*15 points*] or
- (b) there are infinitely many of them.

*Solution:* It we put

$$
A: = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix},
$$

then

$$
A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & 2x + y \\ z & 2z + t \end{pmatrix}
$$

and

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + 2z & y + 2t \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Imposing the giving condition on A we have

$$
\begin{cases}\n x &= x + 2z \\
2x + y &= y + 2t \\
2z + t &= t\n\end{cases}\n\Rightarrow\n\begin{cases}\n z &= 0 \\
x &= t \\
z &= 0.\n\end{cases}
$$

This means that the solutions matrices are given by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}
$$

for arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence there are always infinitely many solutions and, therefore,  $(b)$  holds and so  $(a)$  does not.

*Remark.* To resolve this question one could argue right away that, since the identity commutes with any matrix, every multiple  $A = xI$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) of it will, obviously, be a solution (observe that this is just the case  $y = 0$  above).

- 6. Let  $m > 1$  be an integer. Recall that a congruence class  $[a]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m \{[0]_m\}$ is called a zero divisor if there exists another class  $[b]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m - \{[0]_m\}$  such that  $[a]_m \cdot [b]_m = [0]_m$ .
	- (a) Show that zero divisors do not have multiplicative inverses\* .

[*10 points*]

(b) Show that if a and m have a common divisor  $d > 1$  then  $[a]_m$  is a zero divisor. [*10 points*]

#### *Solution:*

(a) If  $[a]_m$  has a multiplicative inverse and  $[b]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is such that  $[a]_m$ .  $[b]_m = 0$ , then  $[b]_m = 0$ . This follows since if for some  $[\kappa] \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $[\kappa]_m \cdot [a]_m = [1]_m$ , then

$$
[b]_m = ([\kappa]_m \cdot [a]_m) \cdot [b]_m = [\kappa]_m \cdot ([a]_m \cdot [b]_m) = [\kappa]_m \cdot [0]_m = [0]_m.
$$

Therefore, by the very definition, no zero divisor  $[a]_m$  admits a multiplicative inverse.

(b) If  $d > 1$  is a common divisor of a and m then  $a = d \cdot \kappa$  and  $m = d \cdot \ell$ for some integers  $\kappa$  and  $\ell$  with  $\ell < m$ . Hence

 $a \cdot \ell = m \cdot \kappa \Rightarrow [a]_m \cdot [\ell]_m = [a \cdot \ell]_m = [0]_m,$ 

and  $[a]_m$  is a zero devisor unless  $[a]_m = 0$ , i.e., unless  $m \mid a^{\natural}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>[ $\kappa$ ]<sub>m</sub> is a multiplicative inverse of  $[\ell]_m$  if  $[\kappa]_m \cdot [\ell]_m = [\ell]_m \cdot [\kappa]_m = [1]_m$ .

 $\frac{1}{4}$ This conclusion was not originally stated since it is tacitly assume that a is a reminder modulo m and so  $0 \le a < m$ .